

=

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/892,505	06/28/2001	Saluh Kivlighn	50193-109 4997	
7590 06/22/2004 McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER	
			CRIARES, THEODORE J	
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/892,505	KIVLIGHN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Theodore J. Criares	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 March 2004 and 15 March 2004.						
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,5,7 and 14 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,5,7 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accompos	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

CLAIMS 1, 5, 7 AND 14 ARE PRESENTED FOR

EXAMINATION

Claims 3, 4, 6, and 8-13 have been canceled pursuant to applicants' authorization in paper filed April 29, 2003. Therefore, only the above identified claims remain to be examined.

Claims 1 and 14 are drawn to a method of treating hypertension **comprising** administering a therapeutically effective amount of an xanthine oxidase inhibitor to a patient in need of such treatment. Claims 5 and 7 are drawn to a composition comprising an xanthine oxidase inhibitor (claim 5) and specifically allopurinol in claim 7.

Applicant's arguments filed March 9, 2004 and March 15, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims 1 and 5 are still beyond the teachings of the specification since they generically claim the administration of an xanthine oxidase inhibitor. The claims are interpreted broadly since the term "comprising" includes additional active agents. Therfore, claims 1 and 5 are considered to contain subject matter not sufficiently taught by the specification and are deemed properly rejected under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph as set forth in the previous office action.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the compounds allopurinol and carprofen, does not reasonably provide enablement for "xanthine oxidase inhibitort". The phrase is

Application/Control Number: 09/892,505

Art Unit: 1617

generic and beyond applicants limited teaching in the specification. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants' specification merely cites the two compounds set forth above as having the effect of treating hypertension.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 5, 7 and 14 are again rejected as obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) since to Mentrup et al. teaches at column 9, lines 7-30 that allopurinol can be an active agent in the treatment of hypertension. The composition and method claims as drawn include other active agents which can treat hypertension. Therefore, this reference teaches a composition and method which includes allopurinol, claim 1, 5, 7 and 14) as an active agent used in a method and composition for treating hypertension.

Claim 5 and 7 are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising allopruinol. The applicants' amendment to claim 7, in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion, is acknowledged. However, it is well settled Patent Law, as stated in In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 708, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1657 (Fed. Ir. 1990) "discovery of a new property or use of a previously known composition, even when that property and use are unobvious from the prior art, can not impart patentability to claims to the known compositions.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,505

Art Unit: 1617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mentrup et al. This reference teaches the administration of allopurinol. The administration of applicants claimed known active agent allopurinol as an active agent in the treatment of hypertension as taught by Mentrup et al. would inheherently also prevent the claimed medical disorder. See Ex partie Novitski 26 USPQ2d 1389. The claims are anticipated in view of the term "prevention".

None of the claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1617

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Criares whose telephone number is (571) 272-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617